

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,039	07/21/2003	Sheila F. Kia	GP-301493 (8540R-000005)	6370
75	90 09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation			GORR, RACHEL F	
Kathyrn A. Mai	та			
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			1711	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\rightarrow$ . C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,039	KIA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	7.5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communica  3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  her.  p ⊠ accepted or b)□ object  de drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Ority documents have been Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McBain.

McBain discloses gel coats (col. 10, lines 24-30) comprising acrylate and styrene diluents and a polyester urethane acrylate gel coat resin (see Recipes A and B). In col. 3, line 11, he shows preferring Craynor CN 963 as the resin. Strauss (bottom col.6 – top col. 7) shows that Craynor 963 comprises a polyester having a number average molecular weight of 1500-2500, an aliphatic polyisocyanate, such as isophorone diisocyanate, and a hydroxyl alkyl acrylate. He teaches mole ratios of diisocyanate/hydroxyl acrylate/polyester diol of about 2/2/1, and he shows the polyester made from adipic acid (col. 6, line 57) and hexane diol (col. 6, line 64) and neopentyl glycol (col. 6, line 67). McBain uses pigments in recipe B, and he discloses difunctional and trifunctional diluents, such as propoxylated glyceryl triacrylate (col. 4, line 43). In recipes A and B, the gel coat resin comprises between 30 and 40 wt. % of the gel coat.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,039

Art Unit: 1711

4. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

McBain.

5. McBain discloses the gel coat of the claims (see above) but Straus differs from

these claims by showing that Craynor CN 963 is made by fist reacting a polyester with a

diisocyanate before reacting with the hydroxyl alkyl acrylate versus mixing the polyester

and acrylate followed by reaction with diisocyanate.

6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to mix the polyester and acrylate before adding diisocyanate

because both methods produce the same product and this method involves one less

reaction step.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-

1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/624,039 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. September 10, 2004

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER